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“The world’s energy system is at a crossroads. Current global trends in energy supply and consumption are patently unsustainable — environmentally, economically, socially. What is needed is nothing short of an energy revolution.”

with special acknowledgement to David Rutledge (Cal Tech), Jim Hansen (Seattle)
See today’s Globe and Mail (business section) – about Peter Odell
The price of oil has increased almost continuously since 1999.

If oil gets too high the economy becomes unstuck
If oil gets too low new investment is halted
Ali al-Naimi – Sweet spot for oil prices $\approx$ $75$
But the price will be difficult to control.
Expect the economy to zig-zag in the future with the lows getting progressively higher
James Hamilton (UCSD) – Recessions generally correlate with the price of oil. If energy expenditures rise faster than income, then the share of income for other things must decline.
The Price Elasticity of Demand has become virtually zero. It’s a supply limited market.

from Kenneth Deffeyes
Can there be economic growth without growth in energy?

Fuel Share of World Primary Energy Supply

David Fridley - LBNL
Changing Perceptions of Peak Oil

During 2009 the most significant story about peak oil was published by *The Guardian (UK)* on November 9th

**Whistleblower #1**, still with the IEA, said “The IEA in 2005 was predicting oil supplies could rise as high as 120 million barrels a day by 2030 although it was forced to reduce this gradually to 116m and then 105m last year [2008]. The 120m figure always was nonsense but even today's number is much higher than can be justified and the IEA knows this. Many inside the organization believe that maintaining oil supplies at even 90m to 95m barrels a day would be impossible but there are fears that panic could spread on the financial markets if the figures were brought down further.” Such honesty isn’t tolerated by IEA member state USA, which apparently leaned hard on the Agency to bury this hard truth for years.

There was a similarly strong warning from a heavy-weight within the oil industry. **Christophe de Margerie, CEO of oil super-major Total SA**, had previously issued warnings about world oil supply constraints. In 2007, he stated that “production of 100 million barrels a day will be difficult.” He upped the ante during 2008, claiming that “world oil production would peak at or below 95 million barrels per day.” On February 10th, 2009, the CEO’s statement could have been issued by ASPO-USA: “world oil production may plateau below 90 million barrels per day.”

Oil optimists like **Daniel Yergin and Michael Lynch** pushed back against the peak oil story on op-ed pages of several major US newspapers. Reporters wrongfully continued to link peak oil to “running out of oil,”.

*A fog of sorts still plagues the issue in the media.*
**Todays Conclusions**

Evidence is strong suggesting that energy resource limitation will be a serious issue.

Peak Oil has occurred or will occur soon.  
   Why? existing oil fields are declining at ~5-7% (~5 mbd) 
   New discoveries are not keeping up.

Oil and Coal Reserves are much less than assumed by the IPCC.

We know enough to see that Resource Limitation needs to be an IPCC Scenario
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The UN Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)

• The UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change publishes assessment reports that reflect the scientific consensus on climate change
• The 4th report was released in 2007
  – Over one thousand authors
  – Over one thousand reviewers
  – Nobel Prize
• Updated measurements show that the global temperature is rising 0.013°C per year for the last 50 years
• Report discusses climate simulations for fossil-fuel carbon-emission scenarios
• There are 40 scenarios, each considered to be equally valid, with story lines and different government policies, population projections, and economic models

AR5 will only have 3 scenarios
Oil Production in the IPCC Scenarios

• Gb = billions of barrels  1 barrel = 42 gallons = 159 liters
• In 13 scenarios, oil production is still rising in 2100
  • **In none of the scenarios did oil production decrease because of resource limitation**
• Oil Production is never going to be more than today (28 Gb)
CO₂ emission Scenarios

From Oil + Gas + Coal
These scenarios drive almost all climate change research
What is Peak Oil?

It’s not about Reserves!

It’s all about the Production Rate!

We are not close to running out or oil
Proven Reserves!

Accurate reserve estimates for OPEC countries are closely guarded state secrets.
Values for 1983 are probably accurate (for 1983).
430Gb rise in reserves, no adjustment for 193Gb produced since 1980.
These questionable reserves are 45% of world oil reserves used by IPCC!
A recent leak of Kuwait Petroleum Company documents showed the actual reserves are only 48Gb (official reserves are 102Gb).
1980 Kuwait reserves adjusted for production since then are 55Gb.

Gb = billions of barrels

From BP Statistical Review
M. King Hubbert

- Geophysicist at the Shell lab in Houston
- In 1956, he presented a paper with predictions for the peak year of US oil production
Oil Wells and Fields Peak --- Regions Peak --- The World will peak

Everyone agrees that world oil will peak – controversy on the date
Examples: Rapid Depletion is Normal

When Giant Oilfields Deplete, They Fall Fast

- Slaughter, Texas
- Prudhoe Bay, Alaska
- Samotlor, Russia
- Romashkino, Russia
Mexico
Was the #2 supplier of oil to the US
Now is #4

Cantarell from >2,000 in 2005
to 860,000 in Jan 2009
to 588,000 in July 2009

Both Mexico and the US
are in trouble.

Mexico:
Sale of oil = 40% of federal budget

US:
Net exports go to 0 in ~2014
Net Export Production

Mexico's Annual Crude Oil Export Volume
(average thousands of barrels/day)
US Oil Consumption today is about 20 million barrels of oil/day
ANWAR will not save us!

Typo: Million!
Hubbert’s Peak

From Hubbert’s 1956 paper:
- Hubbert drew bell-shaped curves by hand, and added up barrels by counting squares.
- For the larger estimate, he predicted a peak in 1970.
- Hubbert has been much criticized — there is no consideration of supply and demand curves, prices, or policy, and new technologies.
Case Study:

Apply the Principals of Hubbert’s Model to the US to see how this works
US Crude-Oil Production

- Production is bell-shaped, like the curves Hubbert drew
- Average price after the peak is 2.6 times higher than before
The Logistic Curve or Rate Plot

\[ \frac{P}{Q} = mQ + a \]

Q for which \( \frac{P}{Q} = 0 \) is 198 gigabarrels of oil.

Also called \( Q_t \) (maximum cumulative production)

Half of this is 99 which occurred in 1973

A model for exponential growth in a finite system
Another Approach: Cumulative Oil Production

- EIA data from 1859
- Fit for cumulative normal gives the ultimate production and the time for 90% exhaustion

- 225Gb ultimate
- 90% exhausted in 2011
- Includes 48+Alaska
- 31Gb remaining
- USGS/MMS assessment 189Gb
The power of Hubbert Linearization is that it uses past behavior of a system to indicate possible future performance rather than relying on the overoptimistic opinions of resource “experts”
Can we apply this approach to estimate ultimate global oil production?
World Oil Production Peaked in May 2005
non-OPEC Peaked in 2004
Saudi Arabia peaked in 2005,
Russia appears to have peaked

IEA still predicts an increase (May 19, 2009)

Source: EIA, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oil_Megaprojects, Tony Eriksen "ace" theoldrum.com
Rate Plot:
Maximum Cumulative Production \((Q_t) = 2165\) Gigabarrels

\[
\frac{1}{2} Q_t = 1083 \text{ Gb}
\]
Who are the experts that IPCC turn to?
Energy Information Agency (EIA) - DOE
International Energy Agency (IEA) – Paris
US Geological Survey (USGS) - Washington

Their economic models for future emissions are driven by demand (not supply).

EIA, IEA and IPCC assume that supply will meet demand
USGS assumes much oil yet to be found

The EIA forecasts in 2008 projects a 30% increase in oil production between now and 2030 (from 85 to 97 mb/d) ($\Delta = +12$ mbd).

The hard truth is that increasing energy supply at all will be difficult.

To have growth we need to balance decline of existing fields with discovery of new oil.
Existing Oil Fields are in Decline

Existing oil fields are declining at -6.7% per year (IEA 2008)

For 2005 to 2030 the world needs 45 mbd of new production – just to maintain flat production

The projected growth requires discovery of 45 + 12 = 57 mbd of new oil!

57 ÷ 9 = ~6+ new Saudi Arabias
Urban Legend – we can drill more to get more oil
Oil discoveries have been declining since 1964

The red box shows the average amount estimated to be discovered by the USGS each year between 1995 and 2025.

The world’s oil provinces have been well explored. Future discoveries will be limited to smaller structures and deeper formations.
Canadian Oil/Tar Sands

1.2 mbd in 2008; projected 2.4 mbd in 2020
4 barrels of water for each barrel of oil
2 tons tar sands = 1 barrel
Oil Junkies Last Fix – Big energy demand
Law of Receding Horizons
EROI = ~6:1  gold (natural gas) to lead (oil)

Neither scaleable nor timely
What about coal?

There are supposed to be hundreds of years of supply of coal!

Big 3 Reserves:
US (27%)
Russia (17%)
China (13%)
then
India, Australia, South Africa

Remarkably the data-quality is very poor globally but especially for China (last update 1992) and SE Asia and FSU

World Energy Council = WEC
The reserves to production ratio (R/P)

“It has been estimated that there are over 984 billion tonnes of proven coal reserves worldwide…This means that there is enough coal to last us over 190 years.” The Coal resource, *World Coal Institute*, 2005

Future Supplies are often discussed in terms of the reserves-to-production (R/P) ratio.

Sounds sensible but supply forecasts of nonrenewable resources based on R/P ratios are always wrong!

Three main reasons..
1. Rates of consumption of energy are never constant - they increase
2. It is physically impossible to maintain a constant rate of extraction until the resource is exhausted
3. Reserves are not static, but can increase as a result of new discoveries and new technologies.

The R/P approach is useless – but now five independent groups have attempted an to determine when peak coal will occur.

These include the Energy Watch Group (EWG), Institute for Energy, Aleklett (Uppsala University), Rutledge (Cal Tech)
We have a big problem with coal. The reserves may not be as large as we’ve been led to believe.

"Present estimates of coal reserves are based upon methods that have not been reviewed or revised since their inception in 1974, and much of the input data were compiled in the early 1970s. Recent programs to assess reserves in limited areas using updated methods indicate that only a small fraction of previously estimated reserves are actually minable reserves."

from the National Academy of Sciences
Report on Coal, June, 2007
Another Problem is Energy Content
IPCC reports energy units (ZJ)

Types of coal (four types – different energy content)
Anthracite (30 MJ/kg)
Bituminous (19 – 29 MJ/kg)
Sub-bituminous (8-25 MJ/kg)
Lignite (5-14 MJ/kg)

The high energy coal is running out

US passed peak anthracite in 1950
peak bituminous in 1990

Total energy content of US coal peaked in 1998
Total energy content of world coal should peak in 2025
Can we apply Hubbert approach to coal? You can make a strong case using UK, Japan, France, Pa anthracite.
• Mt = millions of metric tons
• Production is now 16 times less than the peak, while the average price after the peak is 2.4 times higher than before
• In 1913, Britain exported 27% of its production, now it imports 74% of the coal it burns
• Rate plot does not curve, fit to a logistic rather than normal
Historical Projections for UK Coal

- Reserve numbers are available before projections stabilize
- Produced 18% of the 1871 Royal Commission reserves + cumulative
- Criteria were too optimistic — 1-ft seams, 4,000-ft depth (Deffeyes’ law)
The world’s proven reserves of coal are decreasing fast!

Whenever coal reserves are updated the reserve estimates are revised downward (significantly).

Not due to production, but rather more thorough geological surveys.

Example: World Reserves by WEC decreased from 10 trillion tons to 4.2 trillion tons in 2005

Example: Gillette in Wyoming from 20.9 billion tons to 9.2 billion tons (2009)

The energy content of coal mined is decreasing.
## Projections vs Reserves for World Coal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Projection Gt</th>
<th>Reserves Gt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern US</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western US w/o Montana</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central and South America</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia and New Zealand</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Soviet Union</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>World (at 3.6boe/t)</strong></td>
<td><strong>435 (1.6Tboe)</strong></td>
<td><strong>903</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **UN IPCC scenarios assume 18Tboe is available for production**

from D. Rutledge
Where Does the IPCC Get Its Coal Numbers?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>World Energy Council survey</th>
<th>Proved recoverable reserves, Gt</th>
<th>Additional recoverable reserves, Gt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1,039</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>3,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The scenario report SRES (2000) references the 1995 and 1998 WEC surveys
- Downward trend in proved recoverable reserves
- The IPCC chose to use additional recoverable reserves and they also chose 1998 (3,368Gt) instead of 1995 (680Gt) — additional recoverable reserves are now 19 times smaller than in 1998
### Regional Fits vs Reserves, Gt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Fits for Ultimate</th>
<th>WEC Reserves plus cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US and Canada</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China (with Japan, South Korea)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia and Middle East</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia and New Zealand</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>World</strong></td>
<td><strong>663</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,129</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPCC range is 355 to 3500 in 2100

850 left to be mined
Many independent groups are coming to the same conclusion

Uppsala – Kjell Aleklett  Peak Coal in 2030  
(examples follow)  
http://www.tsl.uu.se/uhdsg/Publications/Coalarticle.pdf

Energy Watch Group (EWG-Germany)  Peak Coal in 2025  

Institute of Energy (IFE)  
http://ie.jrc.ec.europa.eu/

Richard Heinberg Post Carbon Institute (2009)  
“Blackout : Coal. Climate and the Last Energy Crisis”  
New Society Publishers
Future Fossil-Fuels Production

- Cumulative Production, Tboe

50% in 2022

4.7 Tboe fossil fuels remaining

1.6 Tboe coal remaining
Comparing with the IPCC Scenarios

- This projection has lower emissions than any of the 40 IPCC scenarios
- This is still true even with full coal reserves

D. Rutledge
Carbon-Dioxide Levels

- Simulations with the program MAGICC from Tom Wigley at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) in Boulder
- This program was used in the earlier UN IPCC Assessment Reports
- Profiles that come with the program are modified to use our projection for fossil-fuel emissions
- Profiles are business-as-usual for other greenhouse gases
Why Doesn’t The Government Tell this Story?

Quote of the day:
“(Steven Chu, US Secretary of Energy) was my boss. He knows all about peak oil, but he can't talk about it. If the government announced that peak oil was threatening our economy, Wall Street would crash.

He just can't say anything about it.

-- David Fridley, scientist at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Conclusions:

1) Supply Limitation will be serious
Existing scenarios and energy policies are based on emissions - not supply

2) Coal is thought of as a solution to energy needs –
This will be a disaster for climate change without CO$_2$ sequestration.
Is CO2 sequestration realistic?

3) Energy will pass climate change as the hot button issue
We have to get our energy plan in order before we can move forward on climate change

4) Energy Supply Limitation will Buffer Economic Recovery.

5) Security Issue:
Seven nations control 75% of world’s oil exports. There will be shifts in global power and wealth

Once it is clear that oil production has peaked is there are reason to believe that exports will not be limited?
Extra Slides
Is geological CO2 sequestration a realistic strategy for solving climate change?

There are only two really serious strategies for mitigating climate change – switching to alternative non-carbon based energy sources (nuclear, wind, solar) or sequestrating carbon dioxide underground as we continue to use fossil fuels. See Science, September 25, 2009 issue of Science.

There are three main issues that are usually underappreciated.

**Energy Cost**
energy consumption
= roughly 20-30% of the gross starting
energy in the coal. One “carbon wedge”
= 855 coal power plants of 1000 megawatts.

**Timing**
Only 3 or 100 carbon storage demonstration projects are representative of future large scale systems.

**Scale**
A storage volume of 30,000 km³/yr required.
Niagara Falls = 50 km³/yr.
We need to store 600 Niagara Falls of liquid CO₂.