

Canada's national laboratory for particle and nuclear physics Laboratoire national canadien pour la recherche en physique nucléaire et en physique des particules



Nuclear Reactions



Mirko Miorelli PhD student | TRIUMF - UBC

Collaborators: S. Bacca, N. Barnea, G. Hagen, G. Orlandini, T. Papenbrock

January 26th , 2015



^{16,22}*O*, ^{40,48}*Ca*



Electromagnetic (EM) Reactions

Small coupling constant $\alpha \ll 1$



Perturbative treatment

"With the electro-magnetic probe, we can immediately relate the cross section to the transition matrix element of the current operator, thus to the structure of the target itself"

[De Forest-Walecka, Ann. Phys. 1966]



Photo-absorption reactions



Coulomb excitation reactions



EM Reactions: Photo-absorption

Interaction of a (real, low-energy) photon with a nucleus.



Giant Dipole Resonance (GDR)

- Observed across all the periodic table
- The peak is localized between 10-30MeV, the position changes with the mass number





EM Reactions: Coulomb excitation

Inelastic scattering between two charged particles (exchange of a virtual photon).

Pigmy Dipole Resonance (PDR)

- Unstable nuclei can be used as projectiles
- Neutron-rich nuclei show fragmented lowlying strength (soft modes)



 $\sigma_{(\gamma,xn)}\,(mb)$

20

RIUMF

From the Resonances to the Polarizability

It is obtained from the dipole strength $R(\omega)$ as an inverse weighted sum-rule (via photo-absorption, Compton scattering, (p, p') reactions, Coulomb excitation, elastic scattering below Coulomb barrier, ...)

Interesting facts:

- Correlated to the neutron skin-radius
- Can be used to constraint the some of the parameters appearing in the EOS of neutron stars
- No *ab-initio* description for mediummass nuclei (until now!)



Extremely interesting in neutron-rich nuclei: the soft modes at low energy enhance the electric dipole polarizability



Current situation on the theoretical description:

- Non-ab-initio: via macroscopic models or mean field based methods
- **Ab-initio**: described via exact computations for light nuclei using the LIT+EIHH method (up to A = 7)

No ab-initio description of the GDR for A > 7 \rightarrow need of a new approach for larger nuclei

What ingredients and tools do we need?

- Continuum problem \rightarrow LIT
- Many-body technique \rightarrow CC
- Nuclear interactions \rightarrow ChPT





 $\sigma_{\gamma}(\omega) = 4\pi^2 \alpha \omega R(\omega)$

 $\alpha_E = 2\alpha \int d\omega \frac{R(\omega)}{\omega}$

LIT Method

 $R(\omega) = \oint_{f} |\langle f | \hat{\theta} | i \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i} - \omega)$

The response function $R(\omega)$ is the key quantity

• Final states problem is tackled with the Lorentz Integral Transform (LIT) method

$$L(\omega_{0},\Gamma) = \frac{\Gamma}{\pi} \int d\omega \frac{R(\omega)}{(\omega_{0} - \omega)^{2} + \Gamma^{2}}$$
where $(H - E_{i} + \sigma) |\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \theta |i\rangle$ and $\sigma = -\omega_{0} - i\Gamma$

$$L(\sigma) = \frac{\Gamma}{\pi} \langle i | \theta^{+} (H - E_{i} + \sigma^{*})^{-1} (H - E_{i} + \sigma)^{-1} \theta |i\rangle = \langle \tilde{\psi} | \tilde{\psi} \rangle < \infty$$

• The exact final state interaction is included in the continuum rigorously!





CC Theory

• Continuum problem \rightarrow Bound state problem

$$L(\sigma) = \frac{\Gamma}{\pi} \langle i | \theta^+ (H - E_i + \sigma^*)^{-1} (H - E_i + \sigma)^{-1} \theta | i \rangle$$

• Computation of the ground state \rightarrow Coupled Cluster (CC) theory

$$|i\rangle = e^{T}|0\rangle \qquad T = \sum_{i=1}^{A} T_{i} \qquad T_{n} = \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{n} \\ i_{1}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{n}}} t_{i_{1}i_{2}\dots i_{n}}^{a_{1}a_{2}\dots, a_{n}} \{a_{1}^{+}i_{1}a_{2}^{+}i_{2}\dots a_{n}^{+}i_{n}\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{10} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} N_{MAX} = model \ space \ size \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} |0\rangle$$



CC Theory

• The energy is calculated via



Ground state energy

$$\overline{H} = e^{-T} H_N e^T$$

Symilarity transformed Hamiltonian

- One needs the *T* amplitudes which are found solving a set of non-linear coupled equations
- Presently calculate only closed shell and closed sub-shell nuclei
- The aim is to extend to heavy nuclei (and open shell?)





The LIT+CC method and χ PT

- 2NF up to N3LO
- CCSD approximation $T = T_1 + T_2$

R. Machleidt and D. Entem, Phys. Rep. 503, 1 (2011)



Calculation of the LIT



- Calculation of the LIT curves for different model space sizes
- Convergence is approached increasing the model space



Calculation of the LIT





LIT Method

The inversion metod

$$L(\sigma) \xrightarrow{Inversion} R(\omega)$$

• Expand the response function on a set of known functions $\phi(\omega, \alpha)$

$$R(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} c_i \phi_i(\omega, \alpha)$$

• Apply the definition of LIT

$$L(\omega_0, \Gamma) = \frac{\Gamma}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} c_i \int d\omega \frac{\phi_i(\omega, \alpha)}{(\omega_0 - \omega)^2 + \Gamma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} c_i L_i(\sigma, \alpha)$$

• Evaluation of the coefficients minimizing the quantity

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \left| L(\sigma_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} c_i L_i(\sigma_j, \alpha) \right|^2$$



Response function, comparison EIHH and CC





2NF (CCSD) vs 3NF (EIHH)





Correcting for the trivial 3NF effects on the break-up threshold energy





The Oxygen Isotopes - ¹⁶0

LIT convergence check



The Oxygen Isotopes - ¹⁶0

Comparison: calculated LIT vs LIT of the exp. data



TRIUMF

The Oxygen Isotopes - ¹⁶0

Comparison: calculated LIT vs LIT of the exp. data





The Oxygen Isotopes - ¹⁶0

Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 122502 (2013)





The Oxygen Isotopes - ¹⁶0





The Oxygen Isotopes - ²²0



Different strength Bremsstrahlung sumrule: Strength $\propto \left(\frac{NZ}{A}\right)^2 R_{PN}$ (reproduces the ratio with an error of 10%)



Peak shift the shift suggests more strength in the lowenergy region for ²²0







The Oxygen Isotopes - ²²0



TRIUMF





⁴⁰*Ca* - The Response Function

The presence of a GDR is predicted theoretically from first principles!





⁴⁰*Ca* - The Response Function

The presence of a GDR is predicted theoretically from first principles!



TRIUMF ⁴⁰*Ca* - The Electric Dipole Polarizability



- Good agreement in ${}^{4}He!$
- Largely underestimated in ⁴⁰*Ca*!

 $\alpha_{E} = 1.47 fm^{3}$

$$\alpha_E^{exp} = 2.23(3)fm^3$$

1.6

1.4



Polarizability of ${}^{16}O$ and ${}^{48}Ca$





No experimental data for the polarizability of ${}^{48}Ca!$ (Ongoing experiment at RCNP(Japan) with (p, p') reactions)

RIUMF





No experimental data for the polarizability of ${}^{48}Ca!$ (Ongoing experiment at RCNP(Japan) with (p, p') reactions)

IUMF



The current chiral Hamiltonians fitted on light nuclei predict toocompact medium-mass nuclei!

As a consequence we have higher dipole excitation energies, smaller radii and polarizabilities!

M. Miorelli et al., in preparation (2015)

Outlook – PDR in other neutron-rich nuclei



Can these observables be measured here at TRIUMF?

Outlook – Correlations in neutron-rich nuclei

Phys. Rev. C 85, 041302 (2012) Energy Density Functional Theory



• α_E is correlated to r_{skin}

Outlook – Correlations in neutron-rich nuclei



• α_E is correlated to r_{skin}

 Future insight from RCNP and JLAB(CREX) experiments on ⁴⁸Ca



- α_E is correlated to r_{skin}
- Future insight from RCNP and JLAB(CREX) experiments on ⁴⁸Ca



Summary and Outlook

SUMMARY

- We extended the LIT method to heavier nuclei using the CC theory
- The dipole response functions of ^{16,22}O and ⁴⁰Ca have been computed with a first principles based method for the first time
- We observe a soft dipole mode in ²²O which describes quite well the GSI data
- The N3LO NN interaction overbinds medium-mass nuclei which in turn exhibit toosmall charge radii and polarizabilities

OUTLOOK

- The method can be extended to other neutron-rich nuclei such as ²²C and ⁴⁸Ca
- With different interactions we can investigate the correlation between different observables (i.e. polarizability and radii)
- Future improvement of the calculations -> include 3-body correlations:
 - add 3NF
 - add triple excitations for the coupled cluster *T* operator



Canada's national laboratory for particle and nuclear physics Laboratoire national canadien pour la recherche en physique nucléaire et en physique des particules

Thank you! Merci

Owned and operated as a joint venture by a consortium of Canadian universities via a contribution through the National Research Council Canada Propriété d'un consortium d'universités canadiennes, géré en co-entreprise à partir d'une contribution administrée par le Conseil national de recherches Canada





Centre for Probe Development and Commercialization

Positron Emission Tomography Imaging

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

LAWSON

Pacific Parkinson's Research Institute

1.8.

ത്ര



• We use the exponential ansatz of CC theory with the response function from LIT method:





 $R(\sigma)$

LIT Method + CC Theory

Coupled Cluster Equation of Motion (CC-EOM) method

$$L(\sigma) = -\frac{i}{2\Gamma} [\langle 0_L | \bar{\theta}^+ | \tilde{\psi}_R(\sigma) \rangle - \langle 0_L | \bar{\theta}^+ | \tilde{\psi}_R(\sigma^*) \rangle]$$

$$|\tilde{\psi}_R(\sigma) \rangle = R(\sigma) | 0_R \rangle$$

$$R(\sigma) = r_0(\sigma) + \sum_{a,i} r_i^a(\sigma) \{c_a^+ c_i\} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ab,ij} r_{ij}^{ab}(\sigma) \{c_a^+ c_i c_b^+ c_j\} + \cdots$$

$$L(\sigma) = -\frac{i}{2\Gamma} \langle 0_L | \bar{\theta}^+ [R(\sigma) - R(\sigma^*)] | 0_R \rangle$$





Lanczos method

$$L(\sigma) = -\frac{i}{2\Gamma} \langle 0_L | \hat{\bar{\theta}}^{\dagger} (\hat{R}(\sigma) - \hat{R}(\sigma^*)) | 0_R \rangle$$
$$L(\sigma) = -\frac{i}{2\Gamma} ((\mathbf{S}^L)^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{S}^R) \mathbf{w}_0^T \{ (\mathbb{M} + \mathbb{I}\sigma)^{-1} - (\mathbb{M} + \mathbb{I}\sigma^*)^{-1} \} \mathbf{v}_0$$

•

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{v}_{0} &= \frac{\mathbf{S}^{R}}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{S}^{L})^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{R}}} \\ \mathbf{w}_{0}^{T} &= \frac{(\mathbf{S}^{L})^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{S}^{L})^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{R}}} \end{split} \qquad \mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \langle 0_{R} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[a_{d}^{\dagger}a_{k}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \langle 0_{R} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}c_{e}^{\dagger}c_{l}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \cdots \\ 0 & \langle \phi_{i}^{a} | | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \langle \phi_{i}^{a} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}c_{e}^{\dagger}c_{l}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \cdots \\ 0 & \langle \phi_{ij}^{ab} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \langle \phi_{ij}^{ab} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}c_{e}^{\dagger}c_{l}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \cdots \\ 0 & \langle \phi_{ij}^{ab} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \langle \phi_{ij}^{ab} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}c_{e}^{\dagger}c_{l}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \cdots \\ 0 & \langle \phi_{ij}^{ab} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}c_{e}^{\dagger}c_{l}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \langle \phi_{ij}^{ab} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}c_{e}^{\dagger}c_{l}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \cdots \\ 0 & \langle \phi_{ij}^{ab} | [\hat{\bar{H}}, N[c_{d}^{\dagger}c_{k}c_{e}^{\dagger}c_{l}]] | 0_{R} \rangle & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{R}(\sigma^{*}) &= r_{0}(\sigma^{*}) + \sum_{i,a} r_{i}^{a}(\sigma^{*})N[c_{a}^{\dagger}c_{i}] + \sum_{ij,ab} r_{ij}^{ab}(\sigma^{*})N[c_{a}^{\dagger}c_{i}c_{b}^{\dagger}c_{j}] + \cdots \\ (\mathbf{S}^{L})^{\dagger} &= \left(\langle 0_{L} | \hat{\bar{\theta}}^{\dagger} | 0_{R} \rangle, \langle 0_{L} | \hat{\bar{\theta}}^{\dagger} | \phi_{ij}^{a} \rangle, \langle 0_{L} | \hat{\bar{\theta}}^{\dagger} | \phi_{ij}^{ab} \rangle, \cdots \right) \end{split}$$



Lanczos method

• Use Lanczos do diagonalize (avoid full direct diagonalization)

$$L(\sigma) = -\frac{i}{2\Gamma} ((\mathbf{S}^{L})^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{R}) (X_{0}(\sigma) - X_{0}(\sigma^{*})) \qquad \qquad X_{0}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{(a_{0} + \sigma) - \frac{b_{1}^{2}}{(a_{1} + \sigma) - \frac{b_{2}^{2}}{(a_{2} + \sigma) - \frac{b_{2}^{2}}{\cdots}}}$$

• The Electric Dipole Polarizability is obtained in a similar way directly from the Lanczos coefficients of the Lanczos tridiagonal matrix

$$\alpha_{E} = 2\alpha \lim_{\sigma_{R} \to 0} \int \frac{R(\omega)d\omega}{\omega + \sigma_{R}} \qquad \alpha_{E} = 2\alpha ((\mathbf{S}^{L})^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{R}) \lim_{\sigma_{R} \to 0} X_{0}(\sigma_{R})$$
$$= 2\alpha \lim_{\sigma_{R} \to 0} \oint_{f} \frac{\langle 0_{L} | e^{-\hat{T}}\hat{\theta}_{N}^{\dagger} | f \rangle \langle f | \hat{\theta}_{N} e^{\hat{T}} | 0_{R} \rangle}{\Delta E_{f} - \Delta E_{0} + \sigma_{R}}$$
$$= 2\alpha \lim_{\sigma_{R} \to 0} \langle 0_{L} | \hat{\bar{\theta}}^{\dagger} [\hat{\bar{H}} - \Delta E_{0} + \sigma_{R}]^{-1} \hat{\bar{\theta}} | 0_{R} \rangle.$$